EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE ARUN (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2013

2013 No. 2794

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (the Commission) and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

2. Purpose of the instrument

2.1. The Order provides for new district wards and numbers of district councillors for the district of Arun at the district elections in 2015 and thereafter. The Order also provides for new parish wards and numbers of parish councillors at the parish elections in 2015 and thereafter wherever this is necessary because a parish is to be split between new district wards.

3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1. None.

4. Legislative context

4.1. The Commission has power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act) to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report, prepared under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral review under section 56(1) of that Act. This instrument is being made to give effect to the Commission's recommendations for new electoral arrangements set out in its report prepared following an electoral review of the district of Arun.

4.2. This instrument is one of a group of three similar ones laid before Parliament together. The others are the Hackney (Electoral Changes) Order 2013 and the Rushcliffe (Electoral Changes) Order 2013.

5. Territorial extent and application

5.1. This instrument applies to England.

6. European Convention on Human Rights

6.1. As the instrument is subject to the negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

7. Policy background

- What is being done and why

7.1. The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements including the number of councillors and the names, number and boundaries of wards or divisions for a specific local authority. The Commission began the electoral review of Arun in January 2012. The Commission decided to conduct the review as, based on the December 2010 electorate figures, the number of electors per councillor in 31% of wards varied from the average by over 10%. The situation was forecast to worsen following significant development planned over the next five years.

7.2. An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each county or district councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also have regard to community identities and interests and the need for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every division or ward is as close as possible to the average for the authority, but is happy to show flexibility in moving away from the average based on the evidence provided during the consultation stages of the review. Following a four-stage review process the Commission published its 'Final recommendations - New electoral arrangements for Arun'[1] on 26 February 2013.

7.3. The Order provides for changes to the electoral arrangements for the district of Arun at the district elections in 2015 as recommended by the Commission. The existing wards of the district will be replaced by 23 new ones. Eight wards will each return three councillors, and 15 wards will each return two councillors. The Commission considered that the evidence received justified two wards having variances predicted to vary by more than 10% from the authority average by 2018.

7.4. Under section 56 of the 2009 Act, whenever the Commission recommends changes to the electoral arrangements for a district council it must also recommend whether, in consequence of those changes, any changes should be made to the electoral arrangements for any parish council that is within that district. Among other things, under Schedule 2 to that Act recommendations must ensure that no parish ward is split between new district wards and under section 56 of that Act recommendations must be made regarding the number of parish councillors for each parish ward.

7.5. Consequently, in addition to making changes to the electoral arrangements for the district the Order also, where necessary, makes provision with respect to the establishment of new parish wards and sets the number of parish councillors for each of those parish wards. This is not necessary for the parishes of Rustington and Yapton because the new district ward boundaries will follow the existing parish ward boundaries.

- Consolidation

[1] http://www.lgbce.org.uk/__documents/lgbce/draft-final-reports/2013-draftfinal-reports/arun-final-recommendations-edited.pdf

7.6. The Order does not amend or revoke any legislation.

8. Consultation outcome

8.1. The Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the review of electoral arrangements from February 2012 until December 2012. There was an initial 10-week consultation, during which the Commission asked for proposals on the most appropriate number of councillors and ward boundaries for the district. Having considered the submissions received, the Commission published its 'Draft recommendations - New electoral arrangements for Arun'[2] on 4 September 2012. Following a further 13-week consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its final recommendations.

8.2. During the course of the review, the Commission received approximately 112 representations. The consultations involved Arun District Council, parish and town councils, local MPs and other interested parties. The Commission considered that a council size of 54 would ensure effective and convenient local government for the district. The Commission based its draft recommendations on submissions from Arun District Council and opposition groups on Arun District Council. The Commission made some modifications in specific areas to better reflect the statutory criteria.

8.3. In response to the consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission modified its recommendations in Angmering, East Preston, Ferring, Littlehampton and Rustington.

8.4. The Commission also received submissions opposing its proposed boundaries in Felpham, Findon and Walberton. In each of these cases, the Commission did not consider that satisfactory evidence had been received for it to alter its draft recommendations. The Commission therefore confirmed the remainder of its draft recommendations for the district as final.

8.5. A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report 'Final recommendations - New electoral arrangements for Arun' which is available at http://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-east/west-sussex/arun-fer

9. Guidance

9.1. The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.

9.2. Once the Order has been made, the Commission will publish a press release and distribute to local media advising that new electoral arrangements will be implemented at

[2] http://www.lgbce.org.uk/__documents/lgbce/draft-final-reports/2012-draftfinal-reports/arun-dc-draft-recommendation-report-sept-2012.pdf

the next local elections. The press release will also direct interested parties to the Commission's website where the final recommendations will be available in detail.

10. Impact

10.1. No impact assessment has been prepared because no impact on the private sector or the voluntary sector is foreseen.

10.2. The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new district wards and parish wards is to be funded by Arun District Council.

11. Regulating small business

11.1. The Order does not apply to small business.

12. Monitoring and review

12.1. The Commission will have no role in monitoring Arun District Council's implementation of the Arun (Electoral Changes) Order 2013. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of Arun District Council.

12.2. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

13. Contact

13.1. Marcus Bowell at the Commission (Tel: 0207 664 8530 or email: marcus.bowell@lgbce.org.uk) can answer any queries regarding the instrument.