EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE LONDON BOROUGH OF ISLINGTON (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2020

2020 No. [XXXX]

1. Introduction

1.1 This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

2. Purpose of the instrument

2.1 The Order provides for new wards and numbers of councillors for Islington at the borough elections in 2022.

3. Matters of special interest to Parliament

Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1 None.

Matters relevant to Standing Orders Nos. 83P and 83T of the Standing Orders of the House of Commons relating to Public Business (English Votes for English Laws)

3.2 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure there are no matters relevant to Standing Orders Nos. 83P and 83T of the Standing Orders of the House of Commons relating to Public Business at this stage.

4. Extent and Territorial Application

4.1 The territorial extent of this instrument is England and Wales.

4.2 The territorial application of this instrument is England.

5. European Convention on Human Rights

5.1 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

6. Legislative Context

6.1 The Commission has power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 (the 2009 Act) to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report, prepared under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral review under section 56(1) of that Act. This instrument is being made to give effect to the Commission's recommendations for new electoral arrangements set out in its report prepared following an electoral review of Islington.

7. Policy background

What is being done and why?

7.1 The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements including the number of councillors and the names, number and boundaries of wards or divisions for a specific local authority. The Commission began the electoral review of Islington Council in March 2019. The Commission decided to conduct the review as part of its programme of periodic electoral reviews of London Boroughs. Furthermore, based on the December 2017 electorate figures, 25% of wards had an electoral variance of greater than 10% from the average for the authority, and one ward (Highbury West) had a variance of over 30%.

7.2 An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each county, district or borough councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also have regard to community identities and interests and the need for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every division or ward is as close as possible to the average for the authority, but is happy to show flexibility in moving away from the average based on the evidence provided during the consultation stages of the review. Following a four-stage review process the Commission published its 'New electoral arrangements for Islington Council - Final recommendations'[1] on 7 January 2020.

7.3 The Order provides for changes to the electoral arrangements for London Borough of Islington Council at the borough elections in 2022 as recommended by the Commission. The existing wards of the Council will be replaced by 17 new ones, each of which will return three councillors. The Commission considered that the evidence received justified no wards having electoral variances of greater than 10% from the authority average by 2025.

7.4 Under section 56 of the 2009 Act, whenever the Commission recommends changes to the electoral arrangements for a borough, district or county council it must also recommend whether, in consequence of those changes, any changes should be made to the electoral arrangements for any parish council that is within the authority. Among other things, under Schedule 2 to that Act, recommendations must ensure that no parish ward is split between new borough wards, and under section 56 of that Act, recommendations must be made regarding the number of parish councillors for each parish ward.

7.5 In the case of Islington, this does not apply as the authority does not contain any parish councils.

8. European Union (Withdrawal) Act/Withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union

8.1 This instrument does not relate to withdrawal from the European Union.

[1] http://s3-eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/lgbce/Reviews/Greater%20London/Islington/Final%20recs/Islington%20Final%20Recs%20Report%20WEB.pdf

9. Consolidation

9.1 This Instrument does not amend or revoke any legislation.

10. Consultation outcome

10.1 The Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the review of electoral arrangements from March 2019 to October 2019. During the course of the review, the Commission received 329 representations. The consultations involved the Borough Council, community groups, local MPs, local residents and other interested parties. There was an initial ten-week consultation, during which the Commission asked for proposals on the most appropriate number of councillors and ward boundaries for the borough. The Commission considered that a council size of 51 would ensure effective and convenient local government for the authority. The Commission published its 'New electoral arrangements for Islington Council - Draft recommendations'[2] on 4 June 2019. Following a ten-week consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its final recommendations.

10.2 In response to the consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission modified its recommendations for the wards of Highbury and Mildmay, Caledonian and Barnsbury, and Junction and Hillside to better reflect community ties in the area. It also renamed two wards. It recommended that the proposed Gillespie ward be renamed Arsenal and that Canalside & St Peter's ward be renamed St Peter's & Canalside. Commission confirmed its draft recommendations for the remainder of the borough as final.

10.3 A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report 'New electoral arrangements for Islington Council - Final recommendations'. As indicated in the Order, the mapping referred to in the Order is normally accessible at all reasonable times at the Commission's offices. Given the current Covid-19 situation, the Commission's offices are closed and the viewing of a hard copy of the map at its offices is not possible at this time. However, if any person wishes to view the map, the Commission will consider this and seek to make appropriate arrangements. Moreover, the relevant map, as well as the report is accessible on our website at http://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/greater-london/greater-london/islington

11. Guidance

11.1 The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.

12. Impact

12.1 There is no impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies.

12.2 The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be

[2] http://s3-eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/lgbce/Reviews/Greater%20London/Islington/draft%20recs/Islington%20report.pdf

funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new wards is to be funded by Islington Council.

12.3 An Impact Assessment has not been prepared for this instrument.

13. Regulating small business

13.1 The legislation does not apply to activities that are undertaken by small businesses.

14. Monitoring & review

14.1 The Commission will have no role in monitoring the Council's implementation of the London Borough of Islington (Electoral Changes) Order 2020. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of Islington Council. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

15. Contact

15.1 Glynn McDonald, Communications & Public Affairs Manager at the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (Telephone: 0330 500 1250 or email: glynn.mcdonald@lgbce.org.uk) can be contacted with any queries regarding the instrument.