EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE SOUTH TYNESIDE (ELECTORAL CHANGES) ORDER 2025

2025 No. [XXXX]

1. Introduction

1.1 This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England and is laid before Parliament by Command of His Majesty.

2. Declaration

2.1 The Local Government Boundary Commission for England confirms that this Explanatory Memorandum meets the required standard.

3. Contact

3.1 Richard Buck at the Local Government Boundary Commission for England Telephone: 0330 500 1271 or email: reviews@lgbce.org.uk can be contacted with any queries regarding the instrument.

Part One: Explanation, and context, of the Instrument

4. Overview of the Instrument

What does the legislation do?

4.1 This instrument will give effect to the outcome of an electoral review conducted by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England. The Commission made recommendations set out in its report dated 3 December 2024 following a review of the electoral arrangements of the Borough of South Tyneside. Electoral arrangements refer to the total number of councillors elected to the authority under review, and the names, number and boundaries of borough wards and, where appropriate, parish wards. This also relates to the number of councillors to be elected for each borough ward and, where relevant, parish ward. The new arrangements for the borough council are scheduled to come into effect at local elections in May 2026.

Where does the legislation extend to, and apply?

4.2 The extent of this instrument (that is, the jurisdiction(s) which the instrument forms part of the law of) is England and Wales.

4.3 The territorial application of this instrument (that is, where the instrument produces a practical effect) is England.

5. Policy Context

What is being done and why?

5.1 The purpose of an electoral review is to decide on the appropriate electoral arrangements for a specific local authority. The Order provides for these changes to the electoral arrangements for South Tyneside Council to be implemented at future local elections. The existing wards of the Council, as provided for under the Borough

of South Tyneside (Electoral Changes) Order 2004[1] will be replaced by 18 new ones. All wards will each return three councillors. The Commission considered that the evidence received justified two wards having electoral variances of greater than 10% from the authority average by 2029. Where relevant, existing parish electoral arrangements provided for in previous statutory instruments may change as a consequence of this instrument.

5.2 The Commission began the electoral review of South Tyneside Borough Council in 2023. The Commission decided to conduct the review as the last review was carried out in 2003 and it is required to review the electoral arrangements of every council in England 'from time to time'.

5.3 An electoral review aims to ensure that the number of electors represented by each councillor is as close to equal as possible, but the recommendations must also have regard to community identities and interests and the need for effective and convenient local government. To achieve these aims, the Commission tries to ensure that the number of electors per councillor in every division or ward is as close as possible to the average for the authority, but is happy to show flexibility in moving away from the average based on the evidence provided during the consultation stages of the review. Following a six-stage review process the Commission published its 'New electoral arrangements for South Tyneside Council - Final Recommendations'[2] on 3 December 2024.

5.4 Under section 56 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009, whenever the Commission recommends changes to the electoral arrangements for a borough, district or county council it must also recommend whether, in consequence of those changes, any changes should be made to the electoral arrangements for any parish council that is within the authority. Among other things, under Schedule 2 to that Act, recommendations must ensure that no parish ward is split between new borough wards, and under section 56 of that Act, recommendations must be made regarding the number of parish councillors for each parish ward.

5.5 In the case of South Tyneside, it does not contain any parishes, so no changes of this nature have been made.

What was the previous policy, how is this different?

5.6 The instrument brings into effect at the appropriate election, the final recommendations of the Local Government Boundary Commission for England's most recent electoral review of the authority which will, in most cases, be different from the outcome of the previous review.

6. Legislative and Legal Context

How has the law changed?

6.1 The Local Government Boundary Commission for England has the power under section 59 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009 ('the 2009 Act') to make an order giving effect to recommendations contained in a report, prepared under section 58(4) of the 2009 Act, after conducting an electoral

[1] https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2004/358/made.

[2] https://www.lgbce.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-12/south_tyneside_report_full_0.pdf.

review of a local authority under section 56(1) of that Act. This order therefore gives effect to the outcome of the Commission's recommendations for South Tyneside.

Why was this approach taken to change the law?

6.2 This is the only possible approach to make the necessary changes to the electoral arrangements of the authority.

7. Consultation

Summary of consultation outcome and methodology

7.1 This Order gives effect to recommendations that were consulted on during the review of electoral arrangements from May 2023 to September 2024. During the course of the review, the Commission received approximately 400 representations. The consultations involved the Council, parish and town councils, local MPs, local residents and other interested parties. There was an initial ten-week consultation, during which the Commission asked for proposals on the most appropriate ward boundaries for the borough. The Commission considered that a council size of 54 would ensure effective and convenient local government for the authority.

7.2 Having considered the submissions received, the Commission published its 'New electoral arrangements for South Tyneside Council – Draft Recommendations'[3] on 3 October 2023. Following a ten-week consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its New electoral arrangements for South Tyneside Council – New Draft Recommendations'[4] on 7 May 2024. Following a ten-week consultation on the new draft recommendations, the Commission considered the further evidence received and published its final recommendations.

7.3 In response to the consultation on the draft recommendations, the Commission modified its recommendations for all but two of the proposed wards. In response to the consultation on the new draft recommendations the Commission proposed a minor modification between Westoe and West Park wards. It also renamed the wards of Horsley Hill, Cleadon & East Boldon and Cleadon Park to Horsley Hill & Westoe Crown, Cleadon Park & Harton Moor, and Cleadon Village & East Boldon respectively. The Commission confirmed its new draft recommendations for the remainder of the authority as final.

7.4 A detailed analysis of the outcome of the consultation is set out in the report 'New electoral arrangements for South Tyneside Council – Final Recommendations' dated 3 December 2024. As indicated in the Order, the mapping referred to in the Order is normally accessible at all reasonable times at the Commission's offices. If any person wishes to view the map and the report, the Commission will consider this and seek to make appropriate arrangements. Moreover, the relevant map, as well as the report are accessible on our website, which is available at https://www.lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-tyneside.

8. Applicable Guidance

8.1 The Commission does not intend to issue any guidance alongside this instrument. This is not considered necessary as the Order is self-explanatory and gives effect to

[3] https://www.lgbce.org.uk/sites/default/files/2023-10/south_tyneside_combined_report_final.pdf.

[4] https://www.lgbce.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-05/south_tyneside_full_report.pdf.

recommendations following consultation with interested parties as to the changes to electoral arrangements.

Part Two: Impact and the Better Regulation Framework

9. Impact Assessment

9.1 A full Impact Assessment has not been prepared for this instrument because there are no significant or anticipated impacts that would follow from its implementation.

Impact on businesses, charities and voluntary bodies

9.2 There is no, or no significant, impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies because the impact relates specifically to changes to the electoral arrangements of South Tyneside Council and it will fall to the Council to implement these changes.

9.3 The legislation does not impact small or micro businesses.

9.4 The impact on the public sector will be limited to the area for which the Order makes provision. The one-off cost of producing the map referred to by the instrument is to be funded by the Commission. The one-off cost of amending the electoral register to reflect the new electoral arrangements is to be funded by South Tyneside Council.

10. Monitoring and review

What is the approach to monitoring and reviewing this legislation?

10.1 The Commission will have no role in monitoring the Council's implementation of the South Tyneside (Electoral Changes) Order 2025. The Commission is not required to undertake such monitoring; that is a matter for the relevant officers of South Tyneside Council. The Order will be reviewed insofar as the Commission continually monitors local authorities in England to identify any that meet its criteria for electoral reviews.

10.2 The instrument does not include a statutory review clause.

Part Three: Statements and Matters of Particular Interest to Parliament

11. Matters of special interest to Parliament

11.1 None.

12. European Convention on Human Rights

12.1 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

13. The Relevant European Union Acts

13.1 This instrument is not made under the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, the European Union (Future Relationship) Act 2020 or the Retained EU Law (Revocation and Reform) Act 2023 ("relevant European Union Acts").